

Single Bench

①③

4936/a
P.L. No.
Presented by Shri. V. K. Prasad
dated 17.12.04

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
CHHATTISGARH

W.P. NO. 4327 /2004

PETITIONER : Dr. Gajendra Kumar Saxena, Aged about 52
years, S/o. Late Dr. H.P. Saxena R/o.
Community Health Center, Konta, District
Dantewada (Bastar)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1] State of Chhattisgarh
Through: the Secretary, Health &
Medical Services, D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G.)
2] Director, Health & Medical Services,
Raipur (C.G.)
3] Chief Medical and Health Officer,
District Hospital, Dantewada, Distt.
Dantewada, (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 4927/04 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<u>19/01/2005</u> Shri Manoj Paranjape, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Bhaskar Payasi, P.L. for the State/respondents. Heard on I.A. No. 9797/2004 for urgent hearing. It is allowed. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner, who is a Senior Doctor, to issue a direction to the respondents to consider his prayer with regard to his posting on the ground that his mother aged 75 years old, is suffering from throat cancer and in his present place of posting i.e. at Dantewada there is no cancer hospital to treat his mother. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submitted that his earlier representation for allocation was allowed by the Union of India on the same ground that his mother is suffering from cancer and that she is getting treatment at Bhilai hospital and certain vacancies are available in Bhilai and Raipur and the representation is made purely on humanitarian grounds. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though he has made representation vide Annexure P-12 and P-13 but the same has not been considered and no order has been passed by the respondents on his representation. Learned counsel for the State/respondents have no objection if it is directed that the representation of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by the instant petition is made to the respondents and directed to consider the representation within a specified period. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and I am of the opinion that the prayer of the petitioner deserves to be allowed on humanitarian ground and the representations submitted by the petitioner vide Annexure P-12 and P-13	

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक M.P.No. 4927/04 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
2 Signature	deserves consideration of the respondents. The respondents are directed to consider the representations of Annexure P-12 and P-13 submitted by the petitioner within two months from the production of this order and they shall decide the same and inform the petitioner about their decision. With the aforesaid direction, the petition is disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P. No. 5189/2004 and I.A. Nos. 9798/2004 and 9797/2004 stand disposed of.	Sd/- DHIRENDRA MISHRA Judge